IAP13 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 OCT 2006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, P.Ø. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Ian B. Bigham et al.

Group Art Unit Unknown

Serial No.:

10/538,814

Examiner: Unknown

International Application No. PCT/IB2003/006496

Filed:

June 13, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: 1-24194

23 00

For:

DEVICE FOR THE PRODUCTION OF COMB HONEY

DECLARATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a) AND 37 CFR 1.47(b) REGARDING FACTS PERTINENT TO REFUSAL OF A JOINT INVENTOR TO SIGN A SUBSTITUTE DECLARATION

Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Office of PCT Legal Administration

Sir:

I am Ted C. Gillespie, a patent lawyer registered with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, and I have been involved with the prosecution of the aboveidentified patent application.

The inventors are Andreas W. Sperlich, residing at 1709 Front road, RR#2, St. Williams, Ontario NOE 1PO, Canada, and Ian B. Bigham, 303 West 1/4 line RR#2, St. Williams, Ontario NOE 1PO, Canada, both Canadian citizens. At the time of filing the National application in the U.S., the inventors both signed a Declaration (hereinafter, the executed Declaration), a copy of which is of record. Mr. Bigham signed on June 9, 2005 and Mr. Sperlich signed on June 10, 2005. This executed Declaration was filed with the above-identified application at the time of filing.

The executed Declaration is possibly defective since it does not include proper identification as to the Title of the application. Because of this defect, I tried to get the inventors to execute a new, corrected Declaration. In the meantime, Mr. Bigham has become disassociated with Bee-O-Sphere Technologies, the assignee of the patent application, and he now refuses cooperate with Bee-O-Sphere Technologies or with me, and, specifically, refuses to sign the corrected Declaration.

I have attempted to get Mr. Bigham to sign the substitute Declaration, but he has not responded to any telephone messages and has not responded to my email inquiries. In addition to my attempts to contact Mr. Bigham by phone calls and email messages, I sent the Declaration, specification and drawings to Mr. Bigham on June 5, 2006 via DHL courier. The documents were actually delivered to Mr. Bigham, as evidenced by the attached DHL Invoice, International Shipment Waybill and Track Results Detail (4 pages total). The Track Results Detail shows that the documents that I sent to Mr. Bigham were actually delivered at 3:22 PM on June 7, 2006. DHL assured me that they always get a signature of the receiving party for every delivery. A copy of my cover letter, dated June 5, 2006, to Mr. Bigham is also attached. I note that my letter states that the letter was sent "Via Federal Express", but in fact I eventually decided to send the documents to Mr. Bigham via DHL courier instead.

To date Mr. Bigham has made no response. In my opinion, Mr. Bigham's lack of action in responding to these inquiries and requests to sign the corrected Declaration constitutes factual proof that he has refused to execute the application.

I hereby declare that all statements made in this declaration of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information or belief are believed to be true; and further, all these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both

under Section 1001 of title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application and any patent issued from the application.

Ted C. Gillespie

Registration No. 27,981

October 11, 2006

Date

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify that this document is being deposited with the U. S. Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner For Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date set forth below.

Tothy M. Sounfull

Date of signature and deposit)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Ian B. Bigham et al.

Group Art Unit Unknown

Serial No.:

10/538,814

Examiner: Unknown

Filed:

June 13, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: 1-24194

International Application No. PCT/IB2003/006496

For: DEVICE FOR THE PRODUCTION OF COMB HONEY

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a) FOR ACCEPTANCE OF DECLARATION UNDER CIRCUMSTANCES WHERE ONE JOINT INVENTOR REFUSES TO SIGN A SUBSTITUTE DECLARATION

Mail Stop PCT Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attention: Office of PCT Legal Administration

Honorable Sir:

This is a request for reconsideration of a petition to accept a substitute Declaration for the above-identified application. This petition is responsive to a Decision on Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) and 37 CFR 1.47(b), dated August 11, 2006, denying an original petition filed June 16, 2006. This petition is also responsive to the Notice of Missing Parts mailed February 14, 2006. The Decision on Petition stated that the original petition, a copy of which is attached, failed to satisfy all the requirements of 37 CFR 1.47(a). More specifically, the original petition failed to supply the last known address of the recalcitrant inventor, Ian Bigham, and also failed to provide adequate proof of refusal to sign by the inventor.

The last known address of the recalcitrant inventor is 303 West ¼ line RR#2, St. Williams, Ontario NOE 1PO, Canada. The proof of refusal of the inventor to sign the Declaration is provided by the attached Declaration by Ted C. Gillespie.

There should be no additional fee for this submission, but if there is a necessary additional fee required pertaining to this response, please charge it to Deposit Account No. 13-0005.

Respectfully submitted,

Ted C. Gillespie Reg. No. 27,981

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd, LLC One Maritime Plaza, Fifth Floor 720 Water Street, Toledo, Ohio 43604 (419) 255-5900



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

By File To Com S TC6

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC ONE MARITIME PLAZA, FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET TOLEDO, OHIO 43604-1619

In re Application of:

BIGHAM, Ian, B., et al.

U.S. Application No.: 10/538,814 PCT No.: PCT/IB2003/006496

International Filing Date: 12 December 2003

Priority Date: 13 December 2002 Attorney's Docket No.: 1-24194

For: DEVICE FOR THE PRODUCTION OF

COMB HONEY

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

RECEIVED

AUS 14 2006

This decision is issued in response to applicants' "Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) and 37 CFR 1.47(b) For Acceptance Of Declaration Under Circumstances Where One Joint Inventor Refuses To Sign A Substitute Declaration" filed 16 June 2006, treated herein as a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Applicants have paid the required petition fee.

BACKGROUND

On 12 December 2003, applicants filed international application PCT/IB2003/006496. The international application claimed a priority date of 13 December 2002, and it designated the United States. On 01 July 2004, the International Bureau communicated a copy of the international application to the United States Patent And Trademark Office (USPTO). The deadline for submission of the basic national fee was thirty months from the priority date, i.e., 13 June 2005.

On 13 June 2005, applicants filed a Transmittal Letter for entry into the national stage in the United States accompanied by, among other materials, payment of the basic national fee and an executed declaration.

On 14 February 2006, the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) mailed a Notification Of Missing Requirement (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) indicating that an executed declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 and the surcharge for filing the declaration later than thirty months after the priority date were required. The Notification stated that the declaration filed 13 June 2005 was not acceptable because it was not legible and because it did not identify the specification to which it was directed.

¹ The petition references both 37 CFR 1.47(a) and 1.47(b); however, because one of the co-inventors has executed the declaration herein, the applicable regulation is 37 CFR 1.47(a).



On 16 June 2006, applicants filed a response to the Notification Of Missing Requirements (with required two month extension fee). The submission includes the required surcharge payment, a revised declaration executed by co-inventor Andreas SPERLICH, and the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) considered herein. The petition seeks acceptance of the application without the signature of co-inventor Ian B. BIGHAM, whom applicants assert has refused to execute the revised declaration.

DISCUSSION

1. The Declaration Filed 13 June 2005:

Initially, it must be determined if the declaration filed 13 June 2005 can be accepted under 37 CFR 1.497, because if this fully-executed declaration is acceptable, the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is moot.

The Notification Of Missing Requirements stated that the declaration filed 13 June 2005 was defective for failure to properly identify the specification to which it was directed. In the present petition, applicants assert that a copy of the present specification accompanied the declaration filed 13 June 2005 when it was executed, evidencing the inventors' intent to execute the present application. Applicants also note that the declaration bears the docket number used on all other paper work filed with respect to the present national stage application.

MPEP δ 602(VI) sets forth the minimum information required for a declaration to adequately identify the application to which it is directed:

The following combination of information supplied in an oath or declaration filed on the application filing date with a specification are acceptable as minimums for identifying a specification and compliance with any one of the items below will be accepted as complying with the identification requirement of 37 CFR 1.63:

- (A) name of inventor(s), and reference to an attached specification which is both attached to the oath or declaration at the time of execution and submitted with the oath or declaration on filing;
- (B) name of inventor(s), and attorney docket number which was on the specification as filed; or
- (C) name of inventor(s), and title of the invention which was on the specification as filed.

The declaration filed 13 June 2005 does not satisfy any of these options. With respect to option (A), while the declaration does refer to an attached specification; no such specification was "submitted with the oath or declaration on filing," as required. With respect to option (B), a specification bearing the docket number set forth on the declaration was not filed with the

declaration, as required. Finally, with respect to option (C), the declaration does not bear the title of the invention.

Because the declaration filed 13 June 2005 does not satisfy the minimum requirements for identifying the application to which it was directed, as set forth in the MPEP, the declaration can not be accepted under 37 CFR 1.497. Consideration of the partially executed declaration filed 16 June 2006, and the accompanying petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), is therefore required.

2. Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a):

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by: (1) the fee under 37 CFR 1.17; (2) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor; (3) an oath or declaration executed by the other inventors on behalf of themselves and the non-signing inventor; and (4) factual proof that the inventor refuses to execute the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort.

Applicants here have submitted the required petition fee. Item (1) is therefore satisfied.

The petition does not include an express statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Item (2) is therefore not satisfied.

Regarding item (3), section 409.03(a) of the Manual of Patent Examining Practice (MPEP) states that:

An oath or declaration signed by all the available joint inventors with the signature block of the nonsigning inventor(s) left blank may be treated as having been signed by all the joint inventors on behalf of the nonsigning inventor(s), unless otherwise indicated.

Here, the petition includes a revised declaration that is legible, clearly identifies the application to which it is directed, is executed by co-inventor Andreas SPERLICH, and includes an unsigned signature block for the non-signing inventor. This declaration may be treated as having been executed by the signing inventor on his own behalf and on behalf of the non-signing inventor. Accordingly, item (3) is satisfied.

Regarding item (4), the present record is insufficient to support the conclusion that the inventor has refused to sign the revised declaration. Pursuant to MPEP section 409.03(d), before it can be concluded that an applicant refuses to sign the application papers, firsthand evidence must be provided confirming that a copy of the complete application (including specification drawings and claims) has been sent to the last known address of the inventor. The present petition includes a statement from co-inventor Andreas SPERLICH providing firsthand evidence regarding Mr. SPERLICH's unsuccessful attempts to deliver the application documents to the non-signing inventor for signature. The statement also refers to the required mailing of a request for signature, accompanied by a copy of the complete application papers, to the last known address of the non-signing inventor (via DHL courier). However, it was apparently Ted C. GILLESPIE, not Mr. SPERLICH, who carried out this required mailing. Accordingly, a

statement from Mr. GILLESPIE is required to provide firsthand confirmation of this mailing and the inventor's failure to respond thereto; such statement should be accompanied by available documentary evidence, such as the DHL delivery confirmation. Until such additional materials are provided, item (4) is not considered satisfied.

Based on the above, applicants have failed to satisfy all the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

CONCLUSION

Applicants' petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of the petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS of the mail date of the present decision. Any request for reconsideration should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)" and must include the materials required to satisfy items (2) and (4) of a grantable petition, as discussed above. No additional petition fee is required.

Failure to file a proper response will result in abandonment of the application. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a)

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Richard M. Ross

Attorney Advisor

Office of PCT Legal Administration

Telephone: (571) 272-3296

Facsimile:

(571) 273-0459

DHL INVOICE



N 0110070000 060614 Z 000014160

PAGE

1

INVOICE DATE	CUSTOMER NUMBER	INVOICE NUMBER	TOTAL AMOUNT DUE	PAYMENT TERMS
06/10/06	841817825	0024030172	22.17	DUE UPON RECEIPT

MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, ONE MARITIME PLAZA 720 WATER ST FL 4, TOLEDO OH 43604-1853

SENDER ADDRESS REFERENCE NUMBER	RECEIVER ADDRESS ZONE	WAYBILL SHIP DATE PCS/WT	SERVICE	BASE / DISCOUNT	CHARGES	TOTAL
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD ONE MARITIME PLAZA 720 MATER ST FL 4 TOLEDO OH 43604-1853	IAN B BIGHAM 303 WEST 1/4 LINE RR 1 ST HILLIAMS, ONT CANADA NOE 1F	7887102182 06/05/06 1/ 1	EXPRESS DOCUMENT DISCOUNT FUEL SURCHARGE	23.50 -4.71		
1-24194 723	3) HILLIAMS, ON CANADA NOE IN					22.17

SUBTOTAL SHIPPER ACCOUNT NUMBER 841817825

22.17

TOTAL NUMBER OF SHIPMENTS:

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE USD

22.17

GL 704 6/19/06 USS

RECEIVED

JUN 19 2006

MacMillan, Sobanski & Todd

REMIT TO: DHL EXPRESS (USA) INC, P.O. BOX 4723, HOUSTON TX 77210-4723

FEDERAL ID 94-3380425 _

	Process and Track your shipment online: http:	Managar dial age		WHAT'S	,1	
EXPRESS 1-	800-CALL-DHI INTERNAT	TONAL SHIPMENT	ORIG		NATION CODE	
1 Payer account number a	and shipment value protection detail		7102 182			
Charge to ☑ Shipper ☐ Receive	3rd Party		88) (18)(88))8 (188) (188) (8)(8 (18) (88)			
Payer Account No.	Cash		18 , 1861, 881, 8, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,			
Shipment Value Protection (see I		ard			ts & Services	
Yes Declared Value for Carriag			HE (1 TO) 1 TO 1	International E		
	e (in US \$) options are availa in all countries.			Non-Dutiable (International I	Document Service)	
2 From (Shipper)				Dutiable (Worldwide Pri		
Shipper's Account Number	Contact Name			Other	S (extra charges may apply)	
<u> </u>	red Cillespie		7887102182			
Shipper's Reference (up to 35 chara	acters)	4 Shipment Details Total number Total Weight	Dimensions (in inches)	Saturday Delivery	Special Pickup	
1-24194		of packages If DHL Express Doc	cument Pieces Length Width Heigh	nt Delivery Notili	cation Signature Required	
Company Name		packaging used, en	@ x x	Other	cation Signature Required	
MATMITT AN TIME	Aristin to the second	1 1	@ x x		Ini. Standard IPA ISAL	
Address	3,37)1)		lbs@ x x	Dom. Priority	Dom. Standard	
		5 Full Description of Conter	nts		CHARGEARI E WEIGHT	
71713 1 7111 1 1		Give Content and Quantity		DIMENSIONAL	CHARGEABLE WEIGHT	
777 SATIR 51 1	· f	Busiens	Pakere		Ibs C	
Post/ZIP Code (required)	I Phone 5	_ / 5/10/00 /	7	SERVICES	CHARGES	
	Phone, Fax, or E-mail (required)	:		- 1	اِ	
<u>u 44, (111, 2, 2, 4, 4)</u>	1111430460 4111					
To (Receiver)		6 Dutiable Shirm			CHARGES E	
Company Name		6 Dutiable Shipments Only (Attach the original and four copies	of a Protorma or Commercial Invaina			
		Export License No./Symbol (if applicable	Receiver's VAT/GST or Shipper's EIN/SSN			
ontact Person				Drop Box #	TOTAL	
Ian B. Bigham		Declared Value for Customs (in US \$) (as on commercial/protoma invoice)	Schedule B Number / Harmonized Code (if application	able)		
elivery Address DHL Cannot Deliver (- I work a second secon		TRANSPORT	COLLECT STICKER No.	
303 West 1/4 Line		AES TRANSACTION NUMBER	TYPE OF EXPORT	- ∹[
₹R #1		Destination Duties/Taxes If left blank, Re-	Permanent Repair/Return Tempo		[AILS (Check, Card No.)	
St. Williams, Ontario		Receiver Shipper Other			No.:	
		— — —		*********	5ina	
	Country	U.S. Bureau of Export Administration. Divers	be exported from the U.S. are in compliance with to sign to countries contrary to U.S. taw prohibited.	Type Auth.	Expires	
	Canada	7 Shipper's Authorization (significant state of the state	he de dista altituda de la compansa de distribuir de la		Expires	
st/ZIP Code (required)		I/we agree that DHL's standard terms apply to this shipment and limit DHL's liability for loss or damage to U.S. \$100. The Warsaw Convention may also apply (see reverse). I/we authorize DHL to complete other documents necessary to export this shipment. I/we understand that Shipment Value Protection is available on council to			, }	
DE 1PO	Phone, Fax, or E-mail (required)	Value Protection is available on request, for an extra charge, live anderstand that Shipment value Protection is available on request, for an extra charge, live agree to pay all charges if the recipient or 3rd party refuses to pay. If we understand that DHL DOES NOT TRANSPORT CASH. Route No.				
An 910	519-586-3938	Signature (required)	Date / T/		Date	

Conta





DHL USA Hom



Track

- Track by number
- Track by reference
- ▶ Get delivery signature
- ▶ Track DHL Same Day service

Track results detail

Tracking results detail for 7887102182

Tracking summary

Current Status Shipment delivered.

Delivered on 6/7/2006 3:22 pm

Delivered to

Signed for by I BIGHAM What is this?

Log in to DHL

User ID Password

Remember my User ID

Log in

Forgot your Password?

Tracking history

Date and	Time	Status	Location
6/7/2006	3:22 pm	Shipment delivered.	Toronto, Canada Why is
6/6/2006	10.48 am	With delivery courier.	Toronto, Canada
	7:40 am	Arrived at DHL facility.	Toronto, Canada
	5:59 am	Transit through sort facility.	Wilmington, OH
	1:49 am	In transit.	Wilmington, OH
6/5/2006	8:02 pm.	Departing origin.	Maumee, OH
	4:15 pm	Picked Up by DHL.	

Ship From:

MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD
Toledo, OH 436041853

Ship To:
Toronto, Canada

United States

United States

Attention:

Attention:

MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD

Tracking detail provided by DHL: 10/11/2006, 12:39:28 pm pt.

Shipment Information:

Ship date: 6/5/2006 Pieces: 1

Total weight: 1 lb *
Ship Type: Package

Shipment Reference: 1-Service: International E Special Service:

Description:

Track nev

You are authorized to use DHL tracking systems solely to track shipments tendered by or for you to I use of DHL tracking systems and information is strictly prohibited.

* Note on weight:

The weights displayed on this website are the weights provided when the shipment was created. Actu weights may be different and will be provided on invoice.

New to DHL?

Questions?

Registration is quick and easy. And as a registered user, you'll have access to services and tools to help you ship your packages easily and efficiently.

We're here to help!
▶ Contact DHL

Register Now

DHL Global | About DHL | Newsroom | Contact | Sitemap | Privacy Policy Copyright @ 2006 DHL International, Ltd. All Rights Reserved.

LAW OFFICES OF

MACMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC

Richard S. MacMillan Mark J. Sobanski Oliver E. Todd, Jr. Ted C. Gillespie Douglas V. Pavelko John B. Molnar Catherine B. Martineau Gregg W. Emch Gary M. Sutter Thedford I. Hitaffer Scott A. Blake Gregory W. Robinette Staci E. Schweikert Anita S. Toska James D. Schweikert C. Fred Charpie, III

One Maritime Plaza
Fourth Floor
720 Water Street
Toledo, Ohio 43604-1853

Telephone (419) 255-5900 Facsimile (419) 255-9639 e-mail gillespie@mstfirm.com Livonia, Michigan Office Mark L. Mollon Donald A. Wilkinson Frank G. McKenzie Frank L. Lollo 38705 Seven Mile Road, Suite 405 Livonia, Michigan 48152-0193 Telephone (734) 542-0900

Of Counsel Allen W. Inks

June 5, 2006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. Ian B. Bigham 303 West ¼ Line RR#1 St. Williams, Ontario NOE 1PO, Canada

Re:

U.S. Patent Application Entitled

"Device for the Production of Comb Honey"

Our File 1-24194

Dear Mr. Bigham:

The enclosed documents are the papers related to the filing of a patent application for the above-identified invention. Included in the papers are the specification and the drawings. Also enclosed is the Declaration, in which you declare that you are the inventor, and in which you appoint our law firm to prosecute the patent application for you. I would like you to review the entire application, including the drawings, carefully for accuracy. If you find the application to be in good order, please sign and date the Declaration. There is no need for a witness or a notary. If you think that any revisions are necessary, please call me to discuss how best to effect them.

After you sign the Declaration, please send the Declaration to me at once by facsimile, (419) 255-9639. After sending the fax, please return the signed original Declaration to me by mail.

The deadline for submitting these papers is June 14, 2006. If you have any questions, please call me. Thank you for your time and effort in reviewing these materials.

Very truly yours,

MacMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC

Dillerpie

Ted C. Gillespie

Enclosures





MacMILLAN, SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC

LAW OFFICES OF

ONE MARITIME PLAZA 720 WATER STREET FIFTH FLOOR

TOLEDO, OHIO 43604

22313-1450 Commissioner For Patents Alexandria, VA P. O. Box 1450 Mail Stop PCT

T0:

Attention: Office of PCT Legal

Administration

Jennes de la constante de la c